

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,879	05/29/2001	Romain L. Billiet		5124
75	90 05/31/2002			
R. L. BILLIET			EXAMINER	
•	CA STREET 400		TRAN, LEN	
MALAYSIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1725	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1004
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/873,879	BILLIET ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Len Tran	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	A 11 0000		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 /			
, <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		_
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			S
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>15-23</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1		
<ul><li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li><li>Application Papers</li></ul>	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application	on).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1725

٠,

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1a. Claims 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 15, the recitation in step b "injection molding ceramic mold insert" is vague, since there is no positive step and that how is the insert being injected?

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amaya et al (US 5,976,457).

Amaya et al the rapid and accurate method of producing a molding tools comprising the steps of providing a standard base mold (51), milling the pockets in the mold base, fabricating an interchangeable ceramic insert (50) having mounting surface matching the pockets, and securing the insert to the base pocket. The ceramic insert is produced by ceramic injection molding

Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

(figures 1-8) (col. 9, lines 39-42, lines 54-67, col. 10, lines 1-60). The method allows improved dimensional accuracy, reduction of surface texture inconsistency, mismatch the matching articles molded in different mold, geometry can be changed rapidly to different design, life of the molding tool is extended, maintenance costs is reduced, and the interchangeable molded articles can be rapidly implemented in different geographical locations.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1725

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amaya et al ('457) as applied to claim 1 above in paragraph 2, and further in view of Williamson et al (US 5,435,959).

Amaya et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach mold insert to be secured with an adapter or frame to the mold base.

However, Williamson et al disclose a screw (290) attach to a frame (284) securing onto a ceramic insert (288) to hold the insert in place.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a frame with securing means as taught by Williamson et al in order to secure the mold insert.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amaya et al ('457) as applied to claim 1 above in paragraph 2, and further in view of Ruhle (US 5,199,482).

Amaya et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fail to teach a ceramic inset secured to the mold base by vacuum.

However, Ruhle discloses the method of holding the insert onto the base by vacuum.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide vacuum means as taught by Ruhle, in Amaya et al to secure the ceramic insert.

Application/Control Number: 09/873,879 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/30/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument is moot, since the prior arts of record disclose the claimed invention as claimed. Therefore, new claims 15-23 are finally rejected.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1725

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular

communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LT

May 28, 2002

Page 6

PRIMARY EXAMINER